

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON

PP No. 93-253

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OCT | 3 1993

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Honorable David Mann House of Representatives 503 Cannon House Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Mann:

This is in response to your letter of September 15, 1993, addressing the 2 GHz Personal Communications Services (PCS) proceeding, GEN Docket No. 90-314. You express concern that telephone companies such as Cincinnati Bell Telephone that do not have a controlling interest in cellular radio licenses may be restricted from full PCS participation.

On September 23, 1993, the Commission adopted final rules in GEN Docket No. 90-314. Our decision allocates 120 megahertz of spectrum for licensed PCS, and permits telephone companies without cellular interests to access up to 40 megahertz of this spectrum (the maximum authorized to any one licensee). companies with cellular interests will be restricted to 10 megahertz in a PCS service area in which they own 20 or more percent of the stock in a cellular company, if the cellular company serves 10 or more percent of the population of the PCS service area. It is my understanding that Cincinnati Bell owns more than 20 percent of the stock in a local cellular licensee. Thus, Cincinnati Bell would be eligible to obtain 10 megahertz of additional spectrum at 2 GHz to provide PCS service. Inasmuch as we permit cellular licensees to provide services in addition to mobile voice, the cellular licensee in which Cincinnati Bell owns the minority interest also will be permitted to use its cellular spectrum at 800 MHz to provide service equivalent to PCS.

The limitations on the ability of telephone companies with significant interests in cellular licensees to provide PCS in their service areas are designed to foster competition among PCS providers and between PCS providers and cellular operators and to promote diversity in the provision of PCS. For your information, I am attaching the press releases of GEN Docket No. 90-314 and PP Docket No. 93-253 that address these issues.

Sincerely,

James H. Quello

Chairman

Enclosures

DAVID MANN

, washington office:

ROOM 503

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515

(202) 225-2216

DISTRICT OFFICE:
2210 KROGEN BUILING
1014 Vint STREET
GINGINIATI, DI 45202
(D13) 664-2723

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3501

September 15, 1993

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

SUDCOMMITTE ON ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL LAW

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME

AND CHIMINAL JUSTICE
BUBCOMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
AND GOVERNATINTAL FILLATIONS

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

BUBCOMMITTER ON WILITARY

ACQUISITION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

MILITARY APPLICATION OF NUCLEAR FRENCY PANEL

3711

The Honorable James Quello Chairman Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

rock and till them wrote to give the

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I understand that the Commission plans to consider rules for Personal Communications Services (PCS) later this month. While I applaud the Commissions moving ahead to establish this important new service, I hope that your rules will not prohibit or restrict the ability of telephone Companies such as Cincinnati Bell Telephone to participate in this exciting new technology.

In addition to providing telephone service in the Greater Cincinnati area, Cincinnati Bell Telephone also holds a minority non-controlling interest in a local cellular license. This interest is purely a passive one and carries with it no ability to control the business. Control rests solely with the general partner. In effect, their participation in the existing cellular franchise is simply an investment. Moreover, it is my understanding that Cincinnati Bell Telephone agreed to take a minority position in order to avoid lengthy hearings and to further the FCC's interest in getting cellular service up and running.

PCS can be very important to local telephone companies as a means of meeting their universal service obligations, especially in high cost areas. Moreover, telephone companies should have the same access to this new technology as their competitors, such as local cable television companies.

I hope that any rules the FCC chooses to adopt would not bar companies such as Cincinnati Bell Telephone, which do not control cellular licenses, from full access to PCS.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this matter.

DAVID MANN

Member of Congress

I have open office hours every Friday morning, from 9–10 a.m. Stup by to discuss issues of concern to you. The office address is listed above. (To make sure I have not been detained by votes in Washington, call ahead at 684–2723.)